## Board Circular on 2<sup>nd</sup> Hand Machines

Follow Rule 12 to Reject Transaction Value

Subject: Valuation practice of second hand machinery to be adopted by all Custom Houses/Customs Commissionerates

[F.No. 497/34/2006-Cus.V]

04-CBEC It has been noticed that the Custom 12.02.2008 Houses/Customs Commissionerates have been adopting different assessment practices with regard to valuation of imported Second Hand Machinery/Capital Goods. As this was resulting into diverse assessments the following guidelines are being issued so that assessments are done as far as possible on their basis.

- 2. A careful analysis of the Tribunal decisions and an Apex Court judgement on the issue of valuation of second-hand machinery reveal the following views of the judiciary:
- i) If other parameters of Section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962 are satisfied, the transaction value method of Rule 3 of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 can also be applied to importation of second-hand machinery sold for export i.e. it was imported immediately after sale without any further usage abroad.
- ii) However if transaction value of Rule 3 is rejected, valuation of second-hand machinery can be done under Rule 9, on the basis of value of new machine, as certified by the Chartered Engineer, and scaled down by allowing depreciation commensurate with the period of usage. Supreme Court judgement in the case of Gajra Bevel Gears [2000(115) ELT 612 (SC)] refers in this regard.
- iii) However, transaction value of Rule 3 cannot be rejected by *ab initio* application of Rule 9, inasmuch as one cannot, before rejecting transaction value of Rule 3 with sufficient evidences, straightaway arrive at a notional value under Rule 9.
- 3. It may thus be seen from the judicial decisions that, before redetermination of value of second hand machinery under Rule 9, it is essential to reject the transaction value of Rule 3. There would be no difficulty in rejection of transaction value in those cases where the assessing officer is able to assail the documents like Chartered Engineer's Certificate, invoice, etc., as manipulated or fraudulently obtained. Similarly, there will also be no difficulty in rejection of transaction value in cases where the assessing officer proves that certain basic particulars like description, period of usage, extent of the reconditioning, year of manufacture, model no., price when new, etc., are misdeclared either in the Chartered Engineer's Certificate or in the invoice. There will also be no difficulty in rejecting the transaction value in cases which are hit by the provisions to Sub-Rule (2) of Rule 3. Difficulties may however be faced in situations other than those described above.
- 4. In this context, attention of the assessing officers is drawn to Rule 12 of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 which provides for rejection of declared value under certain circumstances. Following views have emerged from various Tribunal decisions on the application of Rule 12:

- i) Rule 12 empowers the Revenue not to determine the value of the imported goods on the basis of transaction value under Rule 3 (1) of the Valuation Rules. The Tribunal decision in the case of Chandni International [2003 (153) ELT 312] refers.
- ii) Rule 12 provides that when the proper officer has reason to doubt the truth or accuracy of the value declared, he may ask the importer to furnish further information or other evidence. If he still has reasonable doubt about the truth or accuracy of the value so declared, it shall be deemed that the transaction value of the goods cannot be accepted. It is therefore required to determine whether the evidences constitute reasonable doubt for the assessing officer to doubt the value of the goods. The Tribunal decision in the case of Sunny Enterprises [2004 (175) ELT 420] refers.
- iii) Rule 12 is a procedural provision, which is meant to act as an aid in determining as to whether Sub-Rule (1) or Sub-Rule (4) of Rule 3 would be applicable in a given case. This deeming provision contained in Rule 12 has necessarily to be pressed into service at the very initial stage under the sequential scheme. It has no role after the scheme has worked out. The Tribunal decision in the case of Venus Insulation Products Mfg. Co. [2002 (143) ELT 364] refers.
- 5. Thus in respect of valuation of second hand machineries as well, the assessing officers may apply Rule 12 in appropriate cases. As an illustration, if the declared value of a second hand machinery is found to be much below the value arrived at by the depreciation method on the basis of the certified price of the new machinery in the year of its manufacture, the assessing officer may have reason to doubt the truth or accuracy of the declared value, and ask the importer to furnish further information and explanation. If he is satisfied, he may accept the declared value. But, if he still has reasonable doubt about the truth or accuracy of the declared value, he can reject the declared value under Rule 12, and proceed to re-determine the value under Rule 9 by following the Board's circular No. F.No. 493/124/86-Cus VI dated 19.11.87 in respect of the depreciation to be extended to such second hand machinery.
- 6. In fact, for other imported goods as well, the method for acceptance or rejection of declared value, and then re-determination of value in case the declared value is rejected, would be similar to that in the case of second hand machinery, as explained hereinabove.
- 7. In cases where the declared value is rejected, and assessable value is redetermined, the assessing officer shall issue a detailed speaking order, giving the reasons for such rejection, by invoking the provisions of Rule 12 or Rule 3(2), as appropriate, and giving the reasons for re-determination of value under appropriate provision.
- 8. Guidelines in respect of some other issues related to valuation of second hand machinery are as follows:
- (a) For valuation of second hand machinery/capital goods, the assessing officers must insist on importers submitting a certificate issued by an independent Chartered Engineer or any equivalent in the country of supply. The certificate should indicate *interalia*:-
- i) Price of new machinery as in the year of its manufacture,
- ii) Current CIF value of new machinery if purchased now,
- iii) Year of the manufacture of machinery,

- iv) Sale price of the supplier,
- v) Present condition of machinery,
- vi) Nature of reconditioning or repairs carried out, if any, and the cost (including the dismantling cost, if any) thereof,
- vii) Expected life span.
- (b) There is no need to specify the agencies whose certificates alone, issued at the port of loading, would be accepted. The number of such agencies should not be limited.
- (c) In the absence of proper Load Port Certificate, a local Chartered Engineer's Certificate may be accepted. Each Custom House may consider issuing Public Notices giving names and addresses of Chartered Engineers, whom the trade can contact for issuance of CE Certificate.
- (d) It is not essential to have the examination of the second hand machinery by a panel of officers, since in many Customs formations no machinery expert is posted. The routine examination of second hand machinery being done by the Docks staff shall continue.
- 9. The aforesaid guidelines regarding valuation of second-hand Machinery as contained in foregoing paragraphs 3 to 8 shall be strictly followed.
- 10. Any difficulty in the implementation of the foregoing guidelines may be brought to the notice of the Directorate General of Valuation, Mumbai with a copy to the Board.